CITY OF SCRANTON

OSAGE COUNTY, KANSAS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT AND

REGULATORY BASIS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

ALDRICH AND COMPANY LLC CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS COUNCIL GROVE, KANSAS 66846

REGULATORY BASIS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Year Ended December 31, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page <u>Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Summary Statement of Cash Receipts, Expenditures, and Unencumbered Cash	3
Notes to the Financial Statements	4-12
Regulatory-Required Supplementary Information: Schedule 1 - Summary of Expenditures - Actual and Budget Schedule 2 - Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures General Fund Special Purpose Funds Special Parks Fund Special Development Fund Special Highway Fund Special Fire Equipment Reserve	13 14-16 17 18 19 20 21
Capital Project Funds Sewer Project	22
Business Fund Types Water Utility Electric Utility Sewer Utility Refuse Utility	23 24 25 26
Other Supplementary Information: Schedule of Insurance Coverage - Unaudited	27-28
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	29
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	30
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	31
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133	32-33
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	34-35
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings	36

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

620-767-6653 1-800-361-6545 FAX 620-767-8149

Independent Auditors' Report

To the City Council City of Scranton, Kansas

We have audited the accompanying fund summary statement of regulatory basis receipts, expenditures, and unencumbered cash balances of the City of Scranton as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012 and the related notes to the financial statement.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with the Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide as described in Note 1 to meet the financial reporting requirements of the State of Kansas. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement.

An audit includes performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on the U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the City of Scranton, Kansas prepared this financial statement to meet the requirements of the State of Kansas on the basis of the financial reporting provisions of the Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on the U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the "Basis for Adverse Opinion on the U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the City of Scranton, Kansas, as of December 31, 2012, or the changes in its financial position or cash flows for the year then ended.

Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the aggregate cash and unencumbered cash balance of the City of Scranton, Kansas, as of December 31, 2012, and the aggregate receipts and expenditures for the year then ended in accordance with the financial reporting provisions of the Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide described in Note 1.

Report on Regulatory-Required Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the fund summary statement of regulatory basis receipts, expenditures, and unencumbered cash balances (basic financial statement) as a whole. The summary of regulatory basis expenditures-actual and budget and individual fund schedules of regulatory basis receipts and expenditures-actual and budget (Schedules 1 and 2 as listed in the table of contents) are presented for analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statement, but are required to be presented under the provisions of the Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide. As part of this presentation, certain prior year comparative information has been derived from the City's 2011 financial statements and, in our report dated August 29, 2012, we expressed an unqualified opinion on the respective basic financial statements, taken as a whole, on the regulatory basis of accounting. Such supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statement. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statement and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statement or to the basic financial statement itself, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statement as a whole, on the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the fund summary statement of regulatory basis receipts, expenditures, and unencumbered cash balances (basic financial statement) as a whole. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and is not a required part of the financial statement. It is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the regulatory basis financial statement. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement or to the financial statement itself, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The accompanying financial information listed as Schedule of Insurance Coverage is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the regulatory basis financial statements of the City of Scranton, Kansas. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements, and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated August 5, 2013, on our consideration of the City of Scranton, Kansas' internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the City of Scranton, Kansas' internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Aldrich flompany, LLC

Aldrich & Company LLC Certified Public Accountants August 5, 2013

Summary Statement of Cash Receipts, Expenditures, and Unencumbered Cash Regulatory Basis For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

Funds		Beginning Unencumbered Cash Cash Balance Receipts			E	xpenditures	Ur	Ending nencumbered Cash Balance	En an	Add Putstanding cumbrances ad Accounts Payable	Ending Cash Balance
General Fund	\$	72,261	\$	258,225	\$	308,674	\$	21,812	\$	18,010	\$ 39,822
Special Purpose Funds											
Special parks		15,524		1,688		0		17,212		0	17,212
Special development		59,146		0		0		59,146		0	59,146
Special highway		70,354		18,393		45,018		43,729		0	43,729
Special fire		585		100		0		685		0	685
Equipment reserve		0		0		0		0		0	0
Capital Project Fund											
Sewer project		588,538		2,767,448		3,780,607		(424,621)		216,696	(207,925)
Business Funds											
Water utility		28,906		165,601		193,392		1,115		10,374	11,489
Electric utility		631,592		494,571		378,819		747,344		27,089	774,433
Sewer utility		128,835		101,156		81,822		148,169		2,911	151,080
Refuse		(2,333)		57,852		58,680		(3,161)		4,695	 1,534
Total Reporting Entity	\$	1,593,408	\$	3,865,034	\$	4,847,012	\$	611,430	\$	279,775	\$ 891,205
					Composi	tion of Cash:					
					Petty Cas						\$ 200
					•	accounts					148,906
					-	es of deposit					742,099
					******						\$ 891,205

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2012

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The City has established a uniform system of accounting maintained to reflect compliance with the applicable laws of the State of Kansas. The more significant accounting policies are described below.

Financial Reporting Entity

The City of Scranton is a governmental entity and operates under a Mayor-Council form of Government. It provides the following services to its approximately 300 residents: public safety, streets, sanitation, electric, water, parks & recreation, and general administrative services.

Regulatory Basis Fund Types

The accounts of the City are organized and operated on the basis of funds, which are used to record the City's financial transactions. A fund is defined as an independent fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Cash and other financial resources are recorded together with all related expenditures. A fund is used to segregate specific activities and for the purpose of attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions, or limitations including State Statutes and City Ordinances. The following types of funds comprise the financial activities of the City.

Governmental Funds:

General Fund -- The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the City. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Special Purpose Funds – Special Purpose Funds are used to account for the proceeds of specific tax levies and other specific revenue sources (other than capital projects and tax levies for long-term debt) that are intended for specified purposes.

Capital Project Fund – Capital Project Funds are used to account for the debt proceeds and other financial resources to be used for acquisition or construction of major capital facilities or equipment.

Business Funds:

Business Funds – Business Funds are financed in whole or in part by fees charged to users of the goods or services. Funds are operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods and services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges; or (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of receipts, expenses, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability, or other purposes.

Regulatory Basis of Accounting and Departure from Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America

The Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide (KMAAG) regulatory basis of accounting involves the recognition of cash, cash equivalents, marketable investments, and certain accounts payable and encumbrance obligations to arrive at a net unencumbered cash and investments balance on a regulatory basis for each fund, and the reporting of changes in unencumbered cash and investments of a fund resulting from the difference in regulatory basis revenues and regulatory basis expenditures for the fiscal year. All recognized assets and liabilities are measured and reported at cost, unless they have been permanently impaired and have no future cash value or represent no future obligation against cash. The KMAAG regulatory basis does not recognize capital assets, long-term debt, accrued receivables and payables or any other assets, liabilities or deferred inflows or outflows, other than those mentioned above.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2012

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONT)

Regulatory Basis of Accounting and Departure from Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America (Cont)

The City approved a resolution that is in compliance with K.S.A. 75-1120a(c), waiving the requirement for application of generally accepted accounting principles and allowing the City to use the regulatory basis of accounting.

NOTE 2 - STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Budgetary Information

Kansas statutes require that an annual operating budget be legally adopted for the general fund, special purpose funds (unless specifically exempted by statute), debt service funds, and business funds. Although directory rather than mandatory, the statutes provide for the following sequence and timetable in the adoption of the legal annual operating budget:

- 1. Preparation of the budget for the succeeding calendar year on or before August 1st.
- 2. Publication in local newspaper of the proposed budget and notice of public hearing on the budget on or before August 5th.
- 3. Public hearing on or before August 15th, but at least ten days after publication of notice of hearing.
- 4. Adoption of the final budget on or before August 25th.

The statutes allow for the governing body to increase the originally adopted budget for previously unbudgeted increases in revenue other than ad valorem property taxes. To do this, a notice of public hearing to amend the budget must be published in the local newspaper. At least ten days after publication, the hearing may be held, and the governing body may amend the budget at that time. There were no such amendments this year.

The statutes permit transferring budgeted amounts between line items within an individual fund. However, such statutes prohibit expenditures in excess of the total amount of the adopted budget of expenditures of individual funds. Budget comparison statements are presented for each fund showing actual receipts and expenditures compared to legally budgeted receipts and expenditures.

All legal annual operating budgets are to be prepared using the regulatory basis of accounting, in which revenues are recognized when cash is received and expenditures include disbursements, accounts payable, and encumbrances, with disbursements being adjusted for prior year's accounts payables and encumbrances. Encumbrances are commitments by the municipality for future payments and are supported by a document evidencing the commitment, such as a purchase order or contract. Any unused budgeted expenditure authority lapses at year-end.

A legal operating budget is not required for capital projects funds, fiduciary funds, permanent funds and the following special purpose fund: Equipment Reserve

Spending in funds which are not subject to the legal annual operating budget requirement is controlled by federal regulations, other statutes, or by the use of internal spending limits established by the governing body.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2012

NOTE 2 - STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY (CONT)

Compliance with Finance-Related Legal and Contractual Provisions

Kansas Statutes

References made herein to the statutes are not intended as interpretations of law, but are offered for consideration of the Director of Accounts and Reports and legal representatives of the municipality.

Expenditures for the water and refuse funds exceeded the budgeted limits K.S.A 79-2935. The City is aware of the requirement and will monitor in the future.

The required annual publication under K.S.A. 12-1608 was not made by the city treasurer. The City is aware of the requirement and will publish in the future as required by statutes.

Deposits with financial institutions were not adequately secured at all times in accordance with K.S.A. 9-1402. The city is aware of the requirement and will monitor in the future.

Management is not aware of any other items of noncompliance with Kansas statutes.

Water System Agreement Noncompliance

The City's water system debt issue requires the city to charge rates sufficient to have a net operating profit of not less than 140% of the next succeeding year's principal and interest payment or \$18,830 for the year ended December 31, 2012. The city's cash receipts were \$27,791 less than its expenditures.

NOTE 3 - CASH AND DEPOSITS

K.S.A. 9-1401 establishes the depositories which may be used by the City. The statute requires that banks eligible to hold the City's funds have a main or branch bank in the county in which the City is located, or in an adjoining county if such institution has been designated as an official depository, and the banks provide an acceptable rate of return on funds. In addition, K.S.A. 9-1402 requires the banks to pledge securities for deposits in excess of FDIC coverage. The City has no other policies that would further limit interest rate risk.

K.S.A 12-1675 limits the City's investment of idle funds to time deposits, open accounts, and certificates of deposit with allowable financial institutions; U.S. government securities; temporary notes; no fund warrants; repurchase agreements; and the Kansas Municipal Investment Pool. The City has no other policies that would further limit its investment choices.

<u>Concentration of credit risk</u> State statutes place no limit on the amount the City may invest in any one issuer as long as the investments are adequately secured under K.S.A. 9-1402 and 9-1405.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2012

NOTE 3 - CASH AND DEPOSITS (CONT)

<u>Custodial credit risk – deposits</u> Custodial credit risk is the risk that in event of a bank failure, the City's deposits may not be returned to it. State statutes require the City's deposits in financial institutions to be entirely covered by federal depository insurance or by collateral held under a joint custody receipt issued by a bank within the State of Kansas, the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, or the Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka. All deposits were legally secured at December 31, 2012.

The City maintains a cash pool that is available for use by all funds. At year-end the carrying amount of the city's deposits was \$891,005. The bank balance held by one bank was \$1,117,578, resulting in a concentration of credit risk. The difference between the carrying amount and the bank balance is outstanding checks and deposits in transit. Of the bank balance, \$270,617 was covered by FDIC insurance, \$846,961 was collateralized by pledged securities held under joint custody receipts issued by a third-party bank in the city's name.

NOTE 4 - PROPERTY TAXES

The city certifies its' budget to the county clerk annually. Using this certified budget and those of other political subdivisions within the county, the county clerk spreads the annual assessment onto the tax rolls and levies property tax on November 1 of each year. The property tax is payable in two installments. The first installment is due December 20 of the same year, and the second installment is due May 10 of the subsequent year. The county collects and distributes the property taxes. In accordance with state statutes, property taxes levied during the current year are a revenue source to be used to finance the following year's operations.

NOTE 5 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

<u>Plan Description</u> – The City of Scranton, Kansas participates in the Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (KPERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan as provided by K.S.A. 74-4901, et seq. KPERS provides retirement benefits, life insurance, disability income benefits, and death benefits. Kansas law establishes and amends benefit provisions. KPERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to KPERS (611 S. Kansas, Suite 100, Topeka, KS 66603) or by calling 1-888-275-5737.

<u>Funding Policy</u> - K.S.A. 74-4419 and 74-49,210 establishes the KPERS member-employee contribution rates. Effective July 1, 2009, KPERS has two benefit structures and funding depends on whether the employee is a Tier 1 or Tier 2 member. Tier 1 members are active and contributing members hired before July 1, 2009. Tier 2 members were first employed in a covered position on or after July 1, 2009. Kansas law establishes member-employee contribution rate at 4% of covered salary for Tier 1 members and at 6% of covered salary for Tier 2 members. The employer collects and remits member-employee contributions according to the provisions of section 414(h) of the Internal Revenue Code. Kansas law provides that the employer contribution rate be determined annually based on the results of an annual actuarial valuation. KPERS is funded on an actuarial reserve basis. Kansas law sets a limitation on annual increases in the contribution rates for KPERS employers.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2012

NOTE 6 - LONG-TERM DEBT

Water System Revenue Bonds Series 1982-A

Water system revenue bonds series 1982-A are serial bonds to be retired in the year 2022. Principal and interest payments are paid annually from the revenues generated by the Water Utility. Interest is paid at an annual rate of 5%.

K.D.H.E. - Notes Payable

The City received a low interest loan from the Kansas Department of Health and Environment in 1994 for the purpose of matching a CDBG Grant for a Sewer Improvement Project. The interest rate is 3.51% with 40 semiannual payments. In 2004, the City amended the loan to receive an additional \$189,817 from the Kansas Department of Health and Environment for necessary upgrades to their sewer utility system. The loan carries an interest rate of 2.66% with 40 semiannual payments scheduled. The first payment was made in September 2005. Payments were made from the sewer fund. This note was refinanced with the new sewer project in 2012.

Rural Water District No. 5 - Contract Payable

On February 19, 1982, the City entered into a 40 year contract with Rural Water District No. 5 of Osage County, Kansas, to purchase purified water. The District agreed to deliver pure water not to exceed 3,240,000 gallons per month with a minimum pressure of 20 p.s.i. In return, the City will pay \$400,000 for 40 years of rights, of which \$20,000 was paid down in 1981. Beginning in May of 1983, the balance was due in installments of \$1,900 per month for 37 years. Interest is paid at an annual rate of 5%. An estimate of the debt, based on the remaining scheduled payments, has been included on the Long Term Debt Schedule. Payments are made from the water fund.

Distributor lease

In August 2009, the City entered into a lease with First Bank for a distributor truck. The amount financed was \$34,500. The lease carries an annual interest rate of 4.25%. Ten payments of \$3,786 and a final \$1 payment are scheduled with the first payment being made September 2009. Payments are made from the general & special highway funds.

Truck lease

In August 2010, the City entered into a lease with First Bank for the purchase of two trucks. The amount financed was \$24,250. The lease carries an annual interest rate of 4.25%. One payment of \$2,425 was made in 2010 with the signing of the lease. Three annual payments of \$7,912 and a final \$1 payment are scheduled with the first payment being made August 2011. Payments arre split between the water, electric & sewer funds.

Backhoe lease

In June 2011, the City entered into a lease with Kansas State Bank of Manhattan for the purchase of a Case 580N backhoe. The amount financed was \$53,124. The lease carries an annual interest rate of 5.181%. Five annual payments of \$12,332 are scheduled with the first payment being due June 2012. Payments are split between the water & sewer funds.

General Obligation Bonds

To finance a sewer system upgrade the city issued general obligation bonds on March 28, 2012 in the amount of \$2,420,000. The bonds carry an interest rate of 3%. Payments are scheduled to begin on March 28, 2013. To fund improvements prior to the issuance of these bonds, temporary financing was obtained through the Silver Lake Bank in the amount of \$2,325,000 and carried an interest rate of 4%. This temporary financing was repaid in March 2012 in the amount of \$2,418,020 including interest.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2012

NOTE 6 - LONG TERM DEBT (CONT)

Changes in long term liabilities for the City for the year ended December 31, 2012 are as follows:

	Interest Rate	Date of Issue	Amount of Issue	Date of Final Maturity	Balance Payable January 1 Additions			Reductions/ Payable Payments December 31		Payable	e Inte			
Revenue Bonds:	5.000/	40474000	000 000	40/47/0000	۰	447.000	•	۰	•	0.000	•	400.000	•	5.050
Water Sys Bd Ser 1982-A	5.00%	12/17/1982	233,000	12/17/2022	\$	117,000	\$	0	\$	8,000	\$	109,000	\$	5,850
KDHE loans														
KDHE - Sewer Imp	3.51%	5/27/1994	213,380	3/1/2015		47,873		0		47,873		0		990
KDHE - Sewer Imp	2.66%	9/1/2004	199,000	3/1/2024		468,583		460		469,043		0		2,540
General Obligation Bonds														
Silver Lake Bank-temporary						2,325,000				2,325,000		0		93,020
Series 2012A	3.00%	3/28/2012	2,420,000	3/28/2052		0		2,420,000		0		2,420,000		0
Rural water														
Rural Water District #5	5.00%	2/19/1982	400,000	4/10/2020		154,638		0		15,296		139,342		7,504
Capital lease														
KSB-Backhoe	5.18%	6/5/2011	53,124	6/5/2016		53,124		0		9,579		43,545		2,752
First Bank-Distributor	4.25%	8/24/2009	34,500	8/24/2014		17,779		0		6,888		10,891		684
First Bank-Trucks	4.25%	8/4/2010	24,250	8/4/2013	_	14,853	_	0		7,270		7,583	_	642
Total long term debt					\$	3,198,850	\$	2,420,460	\$	2,888,949	\$	2,730,361	\$	113,982

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2012

NOTE 6 - LONG TERM DEBT (CONT)

Current maturities of long term debt and interest for the next five years and in five year increments through maturity are as follows:

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018-2022	2023-2027	2028-2032	2033-2037	2038-2042	2043-2047	2048-2052	Total
Principal													
Revenue Bonds:													
Water Sys Bd Ser 1982-A	8,000	9,000	9,000	10,000	10,000	63,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	109,000
USDA - Sewer Imp	30,000	30,000	30,000	35,000	35,000	185,000	220,000	260,000	310,000	360,000	420,000	505,000	2,420,000
Rural Water District #5	16,092	16,929	17,809	18,735	19,710	50,067	0	0	0	0	0	0	139,342
Capital lease-backhoe	10,076	10,598	11,147	11,724	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43,545
Capital lease-Distributor	7,184	3,707	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,891
Capital lease-Trucks	7,583	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,583
Total Principal	78,935	70,234	67,956	75,459	64,710	298,067	220,000	260,000	310,000	360,000	420,000	505,000	2,730,361
						·							· <u> </u>
Interest													
Revenue Bonds:													
Water Sys Bd Ser 1982-A	5,450	5,050	4,600	4,150	3,650	10,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	33,000
USDA - Sewer Imp	72,600	71,700	70,800	69,900	68,850	328,350	298,350	263,100	221,100	171,600	114,300	46,650	1,797,300
Rural Water District #5	6,708	5,871	4,991	4,065	3,090	3,132	0	0	0	0	0	0	27,857
Capital lease-backhoe	2,256	1,734	1,185	607	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,782
Capital lease-Distributor	388	79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	467
Capital lease-Trucks	330	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	330
Total Interest	87,732	84,434	81,576	78,722	75,590	341,582	298,350	263,100	221,100	171,600	114,300	46,650	1,864,736
Total Principal and Interest	\$ 166,667	\$ 154,668	\$ 149,532	\$ 154,181	\$ 140,300	\$ 639,649	\$ 518,350	\$ 523,100	\$ 531,100	\$ 531,600	\$ 534,300	\$ 551,650	\$ 4,595,097

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2012

NOTE 7 - OTHER LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS FROM OPERATIONS

Compensated Absences

The City of Scranton provides sick and vacation leave to its employees. Each full time employee is entitled to eight hours of sick leave per month of service and part-time employees working at least twenty hours per month earn four hours of sick leave per month. Maximum sick leave accumulation is 1040 hours. Upon separation of service, no amount is paid to the employee for unused sick leave. Full time employees with less than 5 years of service earn eight hours of vacation for each month of service. Employees with 5-10 years of service earn ten hours per month, 10-15 years of service earn 12 hours per month, and over 15 years of service earn 14 hours per month. Part time employees who work at least 20 hours per week earn 4 hours of vacation for each month of service. Maximum accumulations vary based on years of service, but no employee can accumulate more than 240 hours of vacation. Upon separation of service, employees are paid for unused vacation leave at their regular rate of pay. Payments are made from the fund that corresponds to the employee's assignment.

Other Post-Employment Benefits

Under the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA), the City makes health care benefits available to eligible former employees and eligible dependents. Certain requirements are outlined by the federal government for this coverage. The premium is paid in full by the insured. There is no cost to the City under this program.

Deposits

The City requires customers to provide a security deposit on all utility service of \$200 per service. Renters deposits are held until service is terminated. Owner's deposits are refunded after two years with consecutive timely payments or after ten years whichever is earlier. Deposit detail is maintained by customer.

NOTE 8 - UTILITY SERVICE CO. AGREEMENT

The City entered into an annual water tower maintenance agreement with Utility Service Co. Inc. on July 20, 2007. The contract has an indefinite term and is cancelable at any time with a written notice 90 days prior to the first day of the upcoming contract year. The contract payment schedule is as follows: year 1-\$2,401; years 2, 3, and 4-\$19,201 per year and year 5 and subsequent years-\$7,354. In year 8 and each third anniversary thereafter, the annual fee may be adjusted up to 5% to reflect current cost of service. If the city cancels the contract prior making the fourth annual payment, they will be billed the balance for work completed.

NOTE 9 - RISK MANAGEMENT

The City is exposed to various risks of loss including risks related to torts, theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The city carries commercial insurance for these risks of loss including property and liability insurance. There were no significant reductions in insurance coverage from coverage in place in prior years.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2012

NOTE 10 - SEWER PROJECT

The City has substantially completed a sewer upgrade project. The total project cost was \$3,715,000. The City was awarded a CDBG grant in the amount of \$500,000. In addition, USDA Rural Development assisted with project costs with a grant of \$795,000 and loan proceeds of \$2,420,000, which includes the payoff of the City's remaining sewer notes with the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE). Temporary financing of \$2,325,000 was obtained through the Silver Lake Bank until general obligation bonds were issued and purchased by USDA-RD. The bonds were issued March 28, 2012. Phase I of the project was substantially completed in November 2011. Phase II of the project was substantially completed in 2012. As of December 31, 2012, the city had expended \$3,655,148 on the project, including cash disbursements and accounts payable.

REGULATORY-REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedule 1

Summary of Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

Funds	Certified Funds Budget		Adjustment for Qualifying Budget Credits	Total Budget for Comparison		Expenditures Chargeable to Current Year		Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	
General Fund	\$	340,273		\$ 340,273	\$	308,674	\$	31,599	
Special Purpose Funds									
Special parks		20,187		20,187		0		20,187	
Special development		59,146		59,146		0		59,146	
Special highway		88,921		88,921		45,018		43,903	
Special fire		685		685		0		685	
Business Funds									
Water utility		189,443		189,443		193,392		(3,949)	
Electric utility		528,892		528,892		378,819		150,073	
Sewer utility		100,693		100,693		81,822		18,871	
Refuse		55,980		55,980		58,680		(2,700)	

Schedule 2A

GENERAL FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

			Current Year								
	Prior Year Actual			Actual		Budget		'ariance avorable favorable)			
Cash Receipts											
Taxes											
Osage Co	\$	130,275	\$	130,345	\$	135,606	\$	(5,261)			
City Sales Tax		28,829		33,151		21,000		12,151			
Franchise taxes		8,031		6,985		9,000		(2,015)			
Total Taxes	_	167,135		170,481		165,606		4,875			
Intergovernmental											
Local alcoholic liquor		885		1,688		2,140		(452)			
LAVTR		0		0		0		Ô			
County Sales Tax		31,418		29,349		29,000		349			
Total Intergovernmental		32,303		31,037		31,140		(103)			
Licenses and Permits											
Licenses, Permits, and Fees		1,501		1,809		1,000		809			
Use of Money and Property											
Interest on deposits		10,423		7,684		15,000		(7,316)			
Miscellaneous Revenue											
City recreation - beginning transfer		0		3,091		0		3,091			
City recreation receipts		0		10,813		0		10,813			
Court		19,557		22,866		15,000		7,866			
Other		4,797		10,444		2,500	i .	7,944			
Total Miscellaneous	_	24,354		47,214		17,500		29,714			
Operating Transfers											
Water utility		0		0		0		0			
Sewer utility		0		0		0		0			
Electric utility		0		0		47,000		(47,000)			
Capital Improvement		0		0		0		0			
Total Transfers		0		0		47,000		(47,000)			
Total Cash Receipts	\$	235,716	\$	258,225	\$	277,246	\$	(19,021)			

Schedule 2A

GENERAL FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

		Current Year							
	Prior Year Actual	Actual	Budget	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)					
Expenditures									
General administrative									
Personal	\$ 21,973	\$ 21,808	\$ 32,925	\$ 11,117					
Contractual	18,722	11,592	14,938	3,346					
Commodities	11,674	11,068	17,610	6,542					
Capital outlay	467	0	5,790	5,790					
Total General administrative	52,836	44,468	71,263	26,795					
Street Department									
Personal	12,341	18,065	28,510	10,445					
Contractual	11,710	13,844	14,500	656					
Commodities	58,881	65,845	62,350	(3,495)					
Capital outlay	0	0	0	0					
Total Street Department	82,932	97,754	105,360	7,606					
Fire Department	•		,	· · ·					
Personal	655	690	765	75					
Contractual	5,474	5,603	6,465	862					
Commodities	7,457	9,245	9,990	745					
Capital outlay	0	0	0	0					
Fire loan repayment	0	0	0	0					
Total Fire Department	13,586	15,538	17,220	1,682					
Parks Department	•	'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Personal	1,934	1,837	2,220	383					
Contractual	9,549	10,458	11,575	1,117					
Saturday in the Park	1,000	0	0	. 0					
Commodities	1,751	2,411	4,465	2,054					
Capital outlay	0	0	0	0					
Total Parks Department	14,234	14,706	18,260	3,554					
Law Enforcement									
Personal	73,103	83,875	88,960	5,085					
Contractual	20,463	15,771	14,310	(1,461)					
Commodities	15,020	14,477	10,730	(3,747)					
Capital outlay	0	0	0	0					
Total Law Enforcement	108,586	114,123	114,000	(123)					
Court									
Personal	5,710	5,278	6,135	857					
Contractual	9,152	9,312	5,735	(3,577)					
Commodities	206	463	1,930	1,467					
Total Court	15,068	15,053	13,800	(1,253)					
Emergency preparedness									
Personal	0	0	0	0					
Contractual	0	0	370	370					
Total Emergency preparedness	0	0	370	370					
Total Expenditures Forward	\$ 287,242	\$ 301,642	\$ 340,273	\$ 38,631					

Schedule 2A

GENERAL FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

			Current Year								
	Prior Year Actual			Actual		Budget		ariance avorable favorable)			
Total Expenditures Carried Forward	\$	287,242	\$	301,642	\$	340,273	\$	38,631			
City Recreation											
Contractual		0		3,064		0		(3,064)			
Commodities		0		3,968		0		(3,968)			
Total City Recreation		0		7,032		0		(7,032)			
Total Expenditures		287,242		308,674		340,273		31,599			
Receipts Over (Under) Expenditures		(51,526)		(50,449)	\$	(63,027)	\$	12,578			
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1		123,787		72,261							
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$	72,261	\$	21,812							

Schedule 2B

SPECIAL PURPOSE FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

SPECIAL PARKS FUND

			Current Year								
	Prior Year Actual		Actual		Budget		Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)				
Cash Receipts	<u> </u>										
State of Kansas	\$	885	\$	1,688	\$	2,140	\$	(452)			
Osage Co		0		0		0		0			
Total Cash Receipts		885		1,688		2,140		(452)			
Expenditures											
Park repair & maintenance		0		0		20,187		20,187			
Receipts Over (Under) Expenditures		885		1,688	\$	(18,047)	\$	19,735			
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1		14,639		15,524							
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$	15,524	\$	17,212							

Schedule 2C

SPECIAL PURPOSE FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

SPECIAL DEVELOPMENT FUND

		rrent Year	ar				
	 Prior Year Actual	Actual			Budget	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	
Cash Receipts Interest	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Expenditures Business development	 0		0		59,146		59,146
Receipt Over (Under) Expenditures	0		0	<u>\$</u>	(59,146)	\$	59,146
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1	 59,146		59,146				
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$ 59,146	\$	59,146				

Schedule 2D

SPECIAL PURPOSE FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

SPECIAL HIGHWAY FUND

	Prior Year Actual		Actual		Budget		Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	
Cash Receipts								
State payments Other	\$	18,033 0	\$	18,393 0	\$	18,510 0	\$	(117) 0
Total Cash Receipts		18,033		18,393		18,510		(117)
Expenditures								
Street repair and maintenance		5,000		45,018		88,921		43,903
Total Expenditures		5,000		45,018		88,921		43,903
Receipts Over (Under) Expenditures		13,033		(26,625)	\$	(70,411)	\$	43,786
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1		57,321		70,354				
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$	70,354	\$	43,729				

Schedule 2E

SPECIAL PURPOSE FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

SPECIAL FIRE

			ent Year					
	Prior Year Actual		Actual		Budget		Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	
Cash Receipts								
Donations	\$	100	\$	100	\$	100	\$	0
Total Cash Receipts		100		100		100		0
Expenditures								
Fire expenditures		0		0		685		685
Total Expenditures		0		0		685		685
Receipts Over (Under) Expenditures		100		100	\$	(585)	\$	685
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1		485		585				
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$	585	\$	685				

Schedule 2F

SPECIAL PURPOSE FUND Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures Regulatory Basis

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

EQUIPMENT RESERVE

	2011		2012	
Cash Receipts		<u>.</u>		
Transfers in	\$	0	\$	0
Interest		0		0
Other		0		0
Total Cash Receipts		0		0
Expenditures Equipment purchases		0		0
Receipts Over (Under) Expenditures		0		0
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1		0		0
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$	0	\$	0

Schedule 2G

CAPITAL PROJECT FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures

Regulatory Basis

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

SEWER PROJECT

	2011		 2012
Cash Receipts		_	
Grant/loan proceeds	\$	2,960,180	\$ 2,766,800
Interest and temporary note interest		3,889	 648
Total Cash Receipts		2,964,069	 2,767,448
Expenditures			
Sewer project		2,305,324	1,125,676
KDHE loan reimbursed project expenditures		0	329,931
Temporary financing principal		0	2,325,000
Total Expenditures		2,305,324	 3,780,607
Receipts Over (Under) Expenditures		658,745	(1,013,159)
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1		(70,207)	 588,538
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$	588,538	\$ (424,621)

Schedule 2H

BUSINESS FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

WATER UTILITY

		Current Year					
	Prior Year Actual		Actual		Budget	F	/ariance avorable ifavorable)
Cash Receipts							,
Sales to customers	\$ 153,035	\$	161,037	\$	162,800	\$	(1,763)
Other receipts	 5,896		4,564		2,200		2,364
Total Cash Receipts	 158,931		165,601		165,000		601
Expenditures							
Personal services	46,332		47,663		44,430		(3,233)
Contractual services	25,990		20,884		33,990		13,106
Commodities	15,446		23,508		23,053		(455)
Water purchased & RWD note	77,478		84,287		72,000		(12,287)
Debt service	14,250		13,850		13,850		0
Other	3,474		3,200		2,120		(1,080)
Transfer to general fund	0		0		0		0
Total Expenditures	 182,970		193,392		189,443		(3,949)
Receipts Over (Under) Expenditures	(24,039)		(27,791)	\$	(24,443)	\$	(3,348)
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1	 52,945		28,906				
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$ 28,906	\$	1,115				

Schedule 2I

BUSINESS FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

ELECTRIC UTILITY

			Current Year					
		Prior Year					F	/ariance avorable
0.15		Actual		Actual		Budget	(Ur	nfavorable)
Cash Receipts	•	407.400	•	470.057	•	500 000	•	(40.040)
Sales to customers	\$	467,430	\$	476,057	\$	520,000	\$	(43,943)
Sales tax collected		11,218		11,621		0		11,621
Deposit receipts		2,993		2,210		0		2,210
Other receipts		5,224		4,683		0		4,683
Total Cash Receipts		486,865		494,571		520,000		(25,429)
Expenditures								
Personal services		50,171		44,825		55,570		10,745
Contractual services		15,490		12,288		33,295		21,007
Commodities		27,099		20,904		65,007		44,103
Electricity purchased		274,417		285,825		299,250		13,425
Capital outlay		0		0		13,780		13,780
Sales tax paid		12,323		12,398		9,480		(2,918)
Deposit refunds		3,674		2,579		5,510		2,931
Transfers to other funds		0		0		47,000		47,000
Adjustment for budget credit		0		0		0		0
Total Expenditures		383,174		378,819		528,892		150,073
Receipts over (under) Expenditures		103,691		115,752	\$	(8,892)	\$	124,644
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1		527,901		631,592				
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$	631,592	\$	747,344				

Schedule 2J

BUSINESS FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

SEWER UTILITY

		Current Year					
	Prior Year Actual	'	Actual	E	Budget	Fa	ariance ivorable avorable)
Cash Receipts	 						
Sales to customers	\$ 87,906	\$	100,216	\$	91,750	\$	8,466
Other	 980		940		0		940
Total Cash Receipts	 88,886		101,156		91,750		9,406
Expenditures							
Personal services	40,998		38,818		38,850		32
Commodities	13,118		19,053		21,553		2,500
Contractual services	12,242		10,026		12,440		2,414
Debt repayments	27,850		13,925		27,850		13,925
Transfer to sewer reserve	0		0		0		0
Transfer to general	 0		0		0		0
Total Expenditures	 94,208		81,822		100,693		18,871
Receipts Over (Under) Expenditures	(5,322)		19,334	\$	(8,943)	\$	28,277
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1	 134,157		128,835				
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$ 128,835	\$	148,169				

Schedule 2K

BUSINESS FUND

Schedule of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Actual and Budget Regulatory Basis For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

With Comparative Actual Totals for the Prior Year Ended December 31, 2011

REFUSE UTILITY

		Current Year						
	Prior Year Actua		Α	vctual	В	udget	Fav	riance vorable avorable)
Cash Receipts				,				
Sales to customers	\$	56,982	\$	57,379	\$	58,000		(621)
Other receipts		0		473		0		473
Total Cash Receipts		56,982		57,852		58,000		(148)
Expenditures								
Personal services		832		780		785		5
Commodities		234		81		0		(81)
Contractual Services	;	57,750		57,819		55,195		(2,624)
Total Expenditures		58,816		58,680		55,980	-	(2,700)
Receipts Over (Under) Expenditures		(1,834)		(828)	\$	2,020	\$	(2,848)
Unencumbered Cash Balance, January 1		(499)		(2,333)				
Unencumbered Cash Balance, December 31	\$	(2,333)	\$	(3,161)				



Schedule 3 – Insurance Coverage - Unaudited December 31, 2012

Insurance policy period May 2012 to May 2013	Coverage	Co-Ins	Deductible
Blanket Property			
Water Tower	\$173,000	90%	\$1,000
Pumphouse	19,000	90%	1,000
Tool shed 228 S Brownie	35,000	90%	1,000
Lift Station	35,000	90%	1,000
Lift Station	37,000	90%	1,000
Sports Complex - Boone St	43,000	90%	1,000
Lights & Poles	26,000	90%	1,000
Scoreboard	4,000	90%	1,000
Fence	21,000	90%	1,000
Community Center	71,000	90%	1,000
City Office Building	165,000	90%	1,000
Inland Marine			
Miscellaneous Tools	10,000	80%	500
Caterpillar Motor Grader	28,000	80%	500
Chipper Shredder	2,000	80%	500
John Deere Tractor	5,000	80%	500
Police equipment	12,800	80%	500
201 Case Backhoe	70,124	80%	500
Consequi inhilik			
General Liability	4 000 000		500
Each Occurrence Limit	1,000,000		500
Damage to premises rent	100,000		500
Medical Expense Limit	5,000		500
Personal and Advertising Injury Limit	1,000,000		500
General Aggregate Limit	2,000,000		500
Workers' Compensation and Employers' Liability			
Bodily Injury by Accident - each accident	500,000		
Bodily Injury by Disease - each employee	500,000		
Bodily Injury by Disease - policy limit	500,000		

This schedule is intended only as a descriptive summary.

Schedule 3 – Insurance Coverage - Unaudited December 31, 2012

Insurance policy period May 2012 to May 2013	Co	verage		Deductible
Commercial Auto Liability	\$	1,000,000		
Uninsured / Underinsured Motorists		1,000,000		
Covered Vehicles with Comprehensive			comp	500
and Collision also			collision	1,000
1993 Sewer Machine Truck				
1993 F700 Bucket Truck				
1993 Chevy Kodiak Dump Truck				
2008 Chevy Impala				
1997 Ford F800				
2002 chevy 3/4T				
2011 Chevy police car				
2009 F150				
Linebacker				
Municipality Errors & Omissions				
Limit each loss		1,000,000		1,000
Limit aggregate		1,000,000		
EMC Insurance				
#S2 1 13 92				

37,000

10,000

This schedule is intended only as a descriptive summary.

Effective February 28, 2003 for an indefinite term

Fidelity Bond on City Clerk

Fidelity Bond on City Treasurer

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the year ended December 31, 2012

Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor	CFDA	Federal
Program or Cluster Title	Number	Expenditures
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development		
Community Development Block Grants	14.228	\$ 1,281
United States Department of Agriculture		
Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities	10.760	1,417,431
Total Federal Expenditures		\$ 1,418,712

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the year ended December 31, 2012

NOTE A - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) includes the federal grant activity of the City of Scranton, Kansas under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2012. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City of Scranton, Kansas, it is not intended to and does not present the cash receipts, cash disbursements and unencumbered cash of the City of Scranton, Kansas.

NOTE B - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the State of Kansas regulatory basis of accounting modified to exclude encumbrances. Expenditures include disbursements and accounts payable, with disbursements being adjusted for prior year's accounts payable. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

NOTE C - PROGRAM COSTS/MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS

The amounts shown as current year expenses represent only the federal grant portion of the programs costs. Entire program costs, including the City of Scranton's portion may be more than shown. City records should be consulted to determine amounts expended or matched from non-federal sources.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

620-767-6653 1-800-361-6545 FAX 620-767-8149

NDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Mayor and City Council 208 S. Brownie Avenue Scranton, Kansas 66537

We have audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the regulatory basis financial statements of the City of Scranton, Kansas, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Scranton, Kansas' financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated August 5, 2013.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City of Scranton's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Scranton's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Scranton's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as 2012-1 to be a material weakness.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompany schedule of findings and questioned costs as 2012-2 and 2012-3 to be significant deficiencies.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Scranton's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

City of Scranton, Kansas' Response to Findings

The City of Scranton, Kansas' responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City of Scranton's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Aldrich flompany, LLC

Aldrich & Company LLC Certified Public Accountants Council Grove, Kansas August 5, 2013

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

620-767-6653 1-800-361-6545 FAX 620-767-8149

NDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Mayor and City Council City of Scranton, Kansas 208 S. Brownie Avenue Scranton, Kansas 66537

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Scranton, Kansas' compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City of Scranton's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2012. The City of Scranton's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City of Scranton's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Scranton's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City of Scranton's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City of Scranton, complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2012-1 and 2012-3. Our opinion on each major program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City of Scranton's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City of Scranton's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Scranton, Kansas, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City of Scranton's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Scranton's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2012-1 to be a material weakness.

A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2012-3 to be a significant deficiency.

The City of Scranton's responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City of Scranton's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Aldrich & Company LLC Council Grove, Kansas

Aldrich & Company, LLC

August 5, 2013

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs City of Scranton, Kansas

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

- 1. The auditors' report expresses an unmodified opinion on the financial statements of the City of Scranton, Kansas, on the State of Kansas regulatory basis of accounting.
- Three significant deficiencies were disclosed during the audit of the financial statements are reported in the Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards. One was reported as a material weakness.
- 3. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of the City of Scranton were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. Two significant deficiencies in internal control over major federal award programs disclosed during the audit are reported in the Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133. One was reported as a material weakness.
- The auditor's report on compliance for the major federal award programs for the City of Scranton, Kansas expresses an unmodified opinion on all major federal programs.
- Audit findings that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133 are reported in this Schedule.
- 7. The programs tested as a major program included: Water and Waste Disposal Systems 10.760.
- B. The threshold for distinguishing Types A and B programs was \$300,000.
- 9. The City of Scranton, Kansas, was not determined to be a low-risk auditee.

FINDINGS—FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

MATERIAL WEAKNESS

2012-1 Inadequate segregation of duties

Condition: There is an inadequate segregation of duties with respect to the financial recordkeeping functions. The clerk and assistant clerk are responsible for most recordkeeping and reconciliation activities with little rotation or cross-checking of duties. In addition, the recreation commission handles their own receipting and also does not have separation of duties. Criteria: Internal controls should be in place to segregate duties, where possible, and to monitor the duties of the clerk and assistant clerk. In addition, the recreation commission receipting should be monitored.

Cause: The City is very small with few administrative personnel.

Effect: The clerk or assistant or recreation commission employees could cease performing some of their duties with the problem not being timely detected. Similarly, the recreation receipts could be misappropriated without being timely detected. Recommendation: Procedures should be implemented to segregate and rotate duties, where possible. Recreation commission receipts should be accounted for and receipts should be monitored by the city. In addition, the mayor and city council should review detailed transactions on a regular basis.

City response: Administrative duties will be segregated as allowed by personnel. The mayor and City Council will continue to monitor duties performed by the administrative personnel and contract for professional assistance if necessary.

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

2012-2 Reconciliation of utilities sold versus utilities purchased

Condition: The city currently purchases it's electricity and water for resale to its customers. No comparisons are done that track the two amounts.

Criteria: Internal controls should be in place, to compare these items to minimize the city's risk of loss.

Cause: Internal controls over utility purchases were not properly designed.

Effect: Because of the lack of comparison, the city could experience loss due to billing or distribution system problems and not timely discover the error.

Recommendation: Procedures should be implemented to track both the sales quantities, purchase quantities and projected loss.

City response: We continue to work toward tracking and evaluating these figures on a monthly basis.

2012-3 Drafting of financial statements

Condition: The city did not draft their financial statements. The audit firm drafted for city approval.

Criteria: Internal controls should be in place, for city personnel to prepare financial statements or be able to take responsibility for them.

Cause: The City is very small with few administrative personnel. These personnel prepare the trial balance but do not prepare a full set of financial statements.

Effect: Information may not be relayed to the preparer of the financial statements, and therefore, omitted.

Recommendation: Procedures should be implemented to ensure that city personnel evaluating the preparation services are able to understand the preparation and to take responsibility for the preparation of the financial statements. In addition, a regulatory disclosure checklist should be obtained and completed.

City response: We do prepare our financial trial balances and budgetary comparisons. However, due to limited availability of staff, we do not prepare a full set of statements. The state of Kansas regulatory basis of accounting is relatively simple, and we feel that it is more cost effective for our staff to continue to evaluate the financial statement preparation services.

FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities-CFDA No. 10.760 Material Weaknesses & Significant Deficiencies. The material weakness and significant deficiencies at findings 2012-1 and 2012-3 also apply to this loan.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings City of Scranton, Kansas

FINDINGS—FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

MATERIAL WEAKNESS

2011-1 Inadequate segregation of duties

Condition: There is an inadequate segregation of duties with respect to the financial recordkeeping functions. The clerk and assistant clerk are responsible for most recordkeeping and reconciliation activities with little rotation or cross-checking of duties.

Recommendation: Procedures should be implemented to segregate and rotate duties, where possible. The mayor and the governing body should continually review activities.

Status: Due to staff turnover, segregation of duties has not been possible at varying times throughout the year. The mayor and governing body review accounting reports each meeting. The mayor and city council will continue to monitor duties performed by the administrative personnel and contract for professional assistance if necessary.

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

2011-2 Reconciliation of utilities sold versus utilities purchased

Condition: The city currently purchases it's electricity and water for resale to its customers. No comparisons are done that track the two amounts.

Recommendation: Procedures should be implemented to track both the sales quantities, purchase quantities and projected loss.

Status: The city is working toward tracking these items.

2011-3 Drafting of financial statements

Condition: The city did not draft their financial statements. The audit firm drafted for city approval.

Recommendation: Procedures should be implemented to ensure that city personnel evaluating the preparation services are able to understand the preparation and to take responsibility for the preparation of the financial statements. Status: The city prepares our financial trial balances and budgetary comparisons. Due to limited availability of staff, they do not prepare a full set of statements. They feel that the state of Kansas regulatory basis of accounting is relatively simple, and that it is more cost effective for their staff to continue to evaluate the financial statement preparation services.